

PREDICTION OF PRODUCER GAS COMPOSITION FROM COAL GASIFICATION USING CYCLE TEMPO

Fajri Vidian¹ & Ferdi Kurniawan²

¹Research Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Universitas Sriwijaya, Inderalaya, Sumatera, Selatan, Indonesia
²Research Scholar, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Universitas Sriwijaya, Inderalaya, Sumatera, Selatan, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

Coal gasification in Indonesia is currently being promoted to meet the needs of electrical energy. Early prediction of the gas composition resulting from the gasification process is needed in development of gasification system. In this study, a simulation of the gasification process of low-rank coal was carried out using cycle tempo. The simulation aims to predict the gas composition of low-rank coal gasification by varying the air-fuel ratio. The variations of the air-fuel ratio were in between of 1.25 to 1.5. The simulation results present the maximum fraction of combustible gas of CO, H_2 , and CH_4 was 21.25 %; 19.31 %, and 3.15 % respectively. The difference between simulation and experimental results has a similar trend with the literature.

KEYWORDS: Gasification, Coal, Simulation, equilibrium, Combustible Gas

Article History

Received: 06 Apr 2022 | Revised: 18 Apr 2022 | Accepted: 20 Apr 2022

INTRODUCTION

Coal is a fossil energy source that still dominates as an energy source, especially for power plants [1]. Indonesia is one of the largest coal-producing countries in Asia [2]. The use of coal for power generation in Indonesia is still dominated by the direct combustion system, as it is known that the direct combustion system has environmental problems [3]. One of the alternatives to solve this problem is to change the direct combustion system into a gasification process. An initial prediction of producer gas composition is needed in the process of changing. We can estimate the number of inputs and outputs from the gasification process through simulation.

Several simulation models have been developed in predicting the producer gas composition. Thermodynamic model is very simple and interesting method. The thermodynamic model is based on equilibrium [4-6]. Currently, there is much software that has been developed based on thermodynamics to estimate the producer gas composition resulting from gasification such as Cycle Tempo, Aspen Plus [7-9], AspenHysis [10], and Engineering Equation Solver [EES] [11-12]. The mathematical equations are already available in the software, we just need to adjust the block diagram of the process. Cycle tempo is software that uses the principle of a single-stage or two-stage equilibrium.

32

Several gasification simulations have been done by researchers utilizing cycle tempo software. Nandwana et al [13] simulated coal fuel and cow manure gasification using a single-stage equilibrium. The simulation results show the composition of the combustible gases of CO18.18 %; 14.06 %, H₂ 12.39 %; 10.32 %, CH₄ 0.62 %; 0.13 %, respectively. Ozgoli [14] simulated a gasification process using sugar cane bagasse fuel with a two-stage equilibrium model. The simulation results show the composition of CO 15.21 %, H₂10, 31 % and CH₄ 5.41 %. El-Sattar et al [15] carried out a gasification simulation using a two-stage equilibrium model with corn stover pieces (CSP) as fuel, the simulation results show the mole fraction of the combustible gas of CO 12.65 %, H₂ 17.09 % and CH₄ 1.15 %. Vera et al [16] carried out a gasification simulation using a single-stage equilibrium model with olive tree leaves and prunings as fuel. The simulation results show the combustible gas mole fraction of CO 20 ± 3 %, H₂ 18 ± 3 % and CH₄ 3 %.

El-Sattar et al [17] carried out a gasification simulation using a two-stage equilibrium model with rice straw as fuel, the simulation results show the combustible gas composition of CO 15.59 %, H₂ 14.17 % and CH₄ 1.02 %. Altafini et al [18] performed a gasification simulation using a two-stage equilibrium model fuelled by Pinus Eliottii sawdust, the simulation results show the composition of CO 21.82 %, H₂ 14.38 % and CH₄ 1.72 %. Fortunato et al [19-20] simulated a gasification process using two-stage equilibrium with sawdust as fuel, the simulation results show the composition of CO 19.45 %, H₂ 14.95 %, and CH₄ 2.60 %. Depoorter et al [21] performed a simulation using a tree stage equilibrium with corn cob as fuel, the mole fraction of combustible gaswas CO 21.24 %, H₂ 17.05 % and CH₄ 2.31 %. Vera et al [22] simulated a gasification using a single-stage equilibrium model with olive pits as fuel. The simulation results show the composition of CO 21.61 %, H₂ 19.86 % and CH₄ 1.5 %. El-Sattar et al [23] simulated using a two-stage equilibrium model with sawdust as fuel. The simulation results show the combustible gas composition of CO 22.35 %, H₂ 20.53 % and CH₄ 1.24 %. Ferreira et al [24] performed a simulation using single-stage equilibrium with MSW as fuel. Pappinisseri et al [25] simulated a gasification process taking a one-stage equilibrium model with coconut, rubberwood, and bamboo as fuel. The simulation results show the combustible gas composition of CO 10.16 %; 7.26 %; 6.51 %, H₂9.59 %; 8.04 %; 5.79 % and CH₄ 0.13 %; 0.05 %; 0.02 %, respectively.

The literature review shows that the composition of the combustible gas produced by the simulation process using cycle tempo varies greatly which is influenced by the input of the ultimate analysis. In addition, the fuel used in the simulation process is generally biomass, while coal is rarely used. The new finding of this research is the utilize of local low rank coal from South Sumatera, Indonesia.

METHODOLOGY

Simulations are carried out using an equilibrium model with the Gibbs energy minimization concept. The simulation was carried out using the two-stage equilibrium method. The gasification process is transformed into a block diagram of the cycle tempo as shown in Figure 1. The input of the simulation is the ultimate analysis of low-rank coal MT 46 from South Sumatera, Indonesia [26] as shown in Table 1. The mass flow rate of fuel is 0.005 kg/s. The ratio of air to fuel is in the range of 1.25 to 1.5.

Table 1: The Ultimate Analysis of Low Rank Coal [26]	
Carbon	57,35 %
Hydrogen	4,31 %
Oxygen	17,37 %
Nitrogen	0,77 %
Low Heating Value	22.200,67 kJ/kg



Figure 1: Gasification Process in Cycle Tempo.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

Producer Gas Composition

Figure 2 presents the influence of the ratio of air to fuel on the fraction of producer gas (CO, H₂, CH₄, CO₂, N₂). The fraction of combustible gas (CO, H₂, CH₄) tends to decrease while the fraction of non-combustible gas (CO₂, N₂) tends to increase. The fraction of CO, H₂, and CH₄ is in between of 20.35 % to 21.25 %; 17.54 % to 19.31 %, and 2.58 to 3.15 % respectively, meanwhile, the fraction of CO₂ and N₂ is in between of 10.50 % to 10.80 % and 44.83 % to 47.78 % respectively. The simulation results present a low decrease in the fraction of combustible gas of CO and CH₄ in the air-fuel ratio between 1.25 to 1.5, this result have the same trend to the results of the simulation conducted by El-Sattar et el [15]. The decrease of H₂ have the same trend with the results Vera et al [22].

The comparison of the simulation and experimental [27] results are shown in Figure 3. The percentage difference is still comparable. According to the report of Fortunato et al [19], the differences between simulation and experimental RDF gasification for the combustible gas fraction of CO, H_2 , and CH_4 were about 21.5 %; 50,7 % and 68.7 %. In this simulation, the differences between simulation and experimental of CO, H_2 , and CH_4 were about 26 %; 52 % and 92 %. The same trend differences caused by the ultimate analysis are quite the same. The high differences in this simulation were caused by the still difference in the air-fuel ratio on simulation and experimental each of 1.5 and 1.



Figure 2: The Influence of Ratio of Air to Fuel on Producer Gas Composition.



Figure 3: The Comparison of Simulation and Experimental.

The LHV of Producer Gas

Figure 4 shows an increase in the ratio of air to fuel from 1.25 to 1.5 will decrease the LHV of producer gas from 5432.78 to 4849.21 kJ/kg, due to a decrease in the fraction of combustible gases (CO, H_2 , CH_4) as shown in Figure 2.



Figure 4: The Influence of Ratio of Air to Fuel on LHV of Producer Gas.

The Efficiency of Gasification

Figure 5. Presents the effect of increasing the ratio of air to fuel on gasification efficiency. An increase in the ratio of air to fuel will decrease the efficiency of the gasification. This decrease occurred due to a decrease in the calorific value of the producer gas, while the calorific value of the fuel used did not change.



Figure 5: The Influence of Ratio of Air to Fuel on Gasification Efficiency.

CONCLUSIONS

From the simulation carried out, it is obtained that the fraction of combustible gas of CO, H_2 , and CH_4 in the ranges of 20.35 % to 21.25 %; 17.54 % to 19.31 %, and 2.58 to 3.15 % respectively at air-fuel ratio 1.25 to 1.5. LHVof producer gas is in the range of 4849.21 to 5432.78 kJ/kg. The result of the simulation has the same trend as the literature. The comparison between simulation and experimental is still comparable based on the literature.

REFERENCES

- 1. Venkatesha G, Rao RDS, Narashin Gwoda TRN, A Review on Indian Coal Power Plants and it's Impacts, International Journal of Engineering Research & Technology (IJERT), 2014;3 (6); 1598-1602.
- 2. Hudaya GK, Madiutomo N, The Availibility of Indonesian Coal to Meet The 2050 Demand, Indonesian Mining Journal, 2019; 22(2); 107 128.
- 3. Song F, Mehedi H, Liang C, Meng J, Chen Z, Shi F, Review of transition paths for coal-fired power plants, Global Energy Interconnection, 2021; 4(4); 354-370.
- 4. Ferreira S, Monteiro E, Brito P, Vilarinho C, A Holistic Review on Biomass Gasification Modified Equilibrium Models, Energies, 2019; 12(160); 1-31.
- 5. Ayub HMU, Sang Jin Park SJ, Binns M, Biomass to Syngas: Modified Stoichiometric Thermodynamic Models for Downdraft Biomass Gasification, Energies, 2020;13 (5383); 1-14.
- 6. Moretti L, Arpino F, Cortellessa G, Di Fraia S, Di Palma M, Vanoli L, Reliability of Equilibrium Gasification Models for Selected Biomass Types and Compositions: An Overview, Energies, 2022; 15(61); 2-21.
- 7. Han J, Liang Y, Hu J, Qin L, Street J, Lu Y, Yu F, Modeling downdraft biomass gasification process by restricting chemical reaction equilibrium with Aspen Plus, Energy Conversion and Management, 2017; 153; 641–648.
- 8. Fernandez-Lopez M, Pedroche J, Valverde J.L, Sanchez-Silva L, Simulation of the gasification of animal wastes in a dual gasifier using Aspen Plus, Energy Conversion and Management, 2017; 140; 211–217.
- 9. Vidian F, Surjosatyo A, Nugroho YS, Thermodynamic Model for Updraft Gasifier with External Recirculation of Pyrolysis Gas, Journal of Combustion, 2016;1-6.
- 10. Bassyouni M, Hasan SW, Aziz MHA, Hamid SMSA. Naveed S, Hussain A, Ani FA, Date palm waste gasification in downdraft gasifier and simulation using ASPEN HYSYS, Energy Conversion and Management, 2018; 88; 693–699.
- 11. Trnini M, Stojiljkovi D, Mani N, Skreiberg Ø, Wang L, Jovovi A, A mathematical model of biomass downdraft gasification with an integrated pyrolysis model, Fuel, 2020; 265; 1-12.
- 12. Arnavat MP, Bruno JC, Coronas A, Modified Thermodynamic Equilibrium Model for Biomass Gasification: A Study of the Influence of Operating Conditions, Energy Fuels, 2012; 26; 1385–1394.
- 13. Nandwana D, Raj A, Kadkade TD, Sreekanth M, Exergy Analysis and Optimization of Gasifier-Solid Oxide Fuel Cell-Gas Turbine Hybrid System, International Energy Journal, 2019; 19; 233 242.
- 14. Ozgoli HA. Simulation of Integrated Biomass Gasification-Gas Turbine-Air Bottoming Cycle as an Energy Efficient System. International Journal of Renewable Energy Research, 2017, 7(1).

- 15. El-Sattar HA, Kamel s, Taufik MA, Vera D, Jurado F, Modeling and Simulation of Corn Stover Gasifier and Micro-turbine for Power Generation, Waste and Biomass Valorization, 2018; 1-14.
- 16. Vera D, Jurado F, De Mena B, Schories G, Comparison between externally fired gas turbine and gasifier-gas turbine system for the olive oil industry, Energy, 2011; 36; 6720-6730.
- 17. El-Sattar HA, Kamel S, Tawfik MA, Vera D, Modeling of a Downdraft Gasifier Combined with Externally Fired Gas Turbine Using Rice Straw for Generating Electricity in Egypt, IEEE, 2016
- 18. Altafini CR, Wander PR, Modelling of Wood Waste Fuel Cell/G. Turbine for Small Power Generation, 18th International Congress of Mechanical Engineering, November 6-11, 2005, Ouro Preto, MG.
- 19. Fortunato B, Brunetti G, Camporeale SM, Torresi M, Fornarell F, Thermodynamic model of a downdraft gasifier, Energy Conversion and Management, 2017; 140; 281–294.
- 20. Fortunato B, Torresi M, Brunetti G, Camporeale SG, Fornarelli F, Pantaleo AM, A Combined Power Plant Fueled by Syngas Produced in A Downdraft Gasifier, Proceedings of ASME Turbo Expo 2016: Power for Land, Sea and Air GT2016 June 13-17, 2016, Seul, South Korea
- 21. Depoorter V, Olivella-Rosell P, Sudrià-Andreu A, Giral-Guardia J, Sumper A, Simulation of a small-scale electricity generation system from biomass gasification, Renewable Energy and Power Quality Journal (RE & PQJ), 2014; 12
- 22. Vera D, Jurado F, Carpio J, Study of a downdraft gasifier and externally fired gas turbine for olive industry wastes, Fuel Processing Technology, 2011; 92; 1970–1979.
- 23. El-Sattar HA, Kamel S, Tawfik MA, Nasrat LS, Modelling of a Fixed Bed Downdraft Gasifier for Generating Electricity Using Sawdust in Egypt, International Journal on Power Engineering and Energy (IJPEE), 2016; 7(4).
- 24. Ferreira ETF, Balestieri JAP, Comparative analysis of waste-to-energy alternatives for a low-capacity power plant in Brazil, Waste Management & Research, 2018; 36(3); 247-258.
- 25. Pappinisseri S, Kandiyan PN, Parthasarathy V, Devasya JT, Modeling of a Gasifier Using Cycle-Tempo for SOFC Applications, AIP Conference Proceedings, 2019; 2134, 030008.
- 26. Vidian F, Basri H, Sihotang D, Design, construction and experiment on imbert downdraft gasifier using south Sumatera biomass and low rank coal. Int. J. Eng. Res. Appl, 2017; 7(3); 39–44.
- 27. Vidian F, Basri H, Alian H, Zhafran E, Aziad T, (2018). Preliminary study on single stage micro gas turbine integrated with South Sumatera Indonesia low rank coal gasification, Ecology, Environment and Conservation, 2018; 24(4); 1529–1533